

Errata in

Multiple Correspondence Analysis and Related Methods

(eds) Michael Greenacre & Jörg Blasius

- Chapter 8, p.202, Table 8.2 (c) is incorrect: the correct version is as follows:

Table 8.2 (Continued)

(c)	A				B				...	Region		...
	+	?	-	x	+	?	-	x		DW	DE	
+	2568	0	0	0	1276	359	865	68	...	1612	956	...
A ?	0	106	0	0	83	15	8	0	...	88	18	...
-	0	0	514	0	462	13	30	9	...	451	63	...
x	0	0	0	103	58	3	4	38	...	82	21	...
.
.
.

- Chapter 8, p.203, Figure 8.1: the inertias and percentages should be 0.3113 (10.38%) on horizontal first dimension, and 0.2724 (9.08%) on vertical second dimension.
- Chapter 8, p.207, Figure 8.2: the inertias and percentages should be 0.2804 (13.70%) on horizontal first dimension, and 0.1633 (7.98%) on vertical second dimension.
- Chapter 8, p.208, Figure 8.3: the inertias and percentages should be 0.2726 (23.44%) on horizontal first dimension, and 0.1240 (10.66%) on vertical second dimension.
- Chapter 8, p.211, Figure 8.5: the inertias and percentages should be 0.2870 (30.07%) on horizontal first dimension, and 0.0885 (9.27%) on vertical second dimension.
- Chapter 8, p.214, Table 8.5: the corrected version is as follows:

Subset analyzed	Total Inertia	Percentages	
		Axis 1	Axis 2
All response categories (+,?,-,x)	0.3784	25.6 (50.5)	19.6 (34.3)
Polar responses (+,-)	0.1369	54.3	11.2
Nonpolar responses (?,x)	0.2064	41.3	9.0
PRs by NPRs	0.0176	34.4	16.1

It is readily checked that $0.3784 = 0.1369 + 0.2064 + 2(0.0176) \dots$